

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,390	06/14/2005	Ing Ralf Belschner	3249 0004US	6554	
25894 7590 05/13/2008 DREISS, FURLENDORF, STEIMLE & BECKER POSTFACH 10 37 62 D-70032 STUTTGART, GERMANY			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			GOEL, DINESH K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			4134		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/13/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/510,390 BELSCHNER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DINESH GOEL 4134 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 June 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 9-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 07 October 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/7/04, 9/19/07.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/510,390

Art Unit: 4134

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 9, 10, and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zinke et al (US Publication Number 2005/0094674), and further in view of Taylor (US Patent Number 7035246).

Referring to claim 9, Zinke et all disclose (Paragraphs 0027-0029) a network system, the system comprising: an interconnecting network; a reference network node communicating with said interconnecting network, said reference node having a reference node communication time schedule; and a plurality of network nodes coupled to said interconnecting network (\*10", "20", "30" in Figure 1), said network nodes each being adapted, before integration as an active network node, for adjustment of a local communication time schedule to a communication time schedule of at least one other network node (Paragraph 0012), wherein a network node to be integrated is adapted to test activity of other network nodes (Paragraph 0014).

Application/Control Number: 10/510,390

Art Unit: 4134

Zinke et al do not teach that in case of no activity, a network node is assigned as said reference network node with fixed transmission of predetermined position messages for said other network nodes, wherein each network node is adapted to receive position messages for adjustment of its local communication time schedule to said reference node communication time schedule and, in case of a positive result of an agreement check between said local communication time schedule and communication time schedules of at least part of active network nodes, is integrated as an active network node.

However, Taylor discloses (Abstract, Column 4 Lines 34-55, Column 5 Lines 64-Column 6 Line 1; Column 7 Lines 14-17; Column 8 Lines 11-14) a system where the clocks of the nodes (network devices) are adjusted to the first network device (first local time reference).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to have modified the teachings of Zinke et al with the teachings of Taylor. The motivation would have been to synchronize the network devices dynamically and quickly when those are gradually integrated in the network.

Referring to claim 10, Taylor further teaches (Column 5 Lines 64-Column 6 Line 1; Column 7 Lines 14-17; Column 8 Lines 11-14) the network system of claim 9, wherein each network node is adapted for examination of whether its local communication time schedule coincides with communication time schedules of at least part of said active network nodes and for counting agreements and deviations, wherein each network

Application/Control Number: 10/510,390

Art Unit: 4134

node is adapted for integration as an active network node only when a number of agreements is larger than a number of deviations.

Referring to claim 12, Taylor further teaches (Column 4 Lines 34-55) that the network system of claim 9, wherein, after detection of no activity, a network node to be integrated is adapted to examine whether a further network node attempts to integrate itself as said reference network node.

Referring to claim 13, Taylor further teaches (Column 4 Lines 34-55) that after detection of no activity, a network node to be integrated is adapted to transmit a collision message.

Referring to claim 14, Taylor further teaches (Column 5 Lines 64-Column 6 Line 1; Column 7 Lines 14-17; Column 8 Lines 11-14) the network system of claim 12, wherein during examination for integration as a reference network node, each network node is adapted to initially transmit its own position message, to count incoming position messages, and to be integrated as said reference network node only if a number of correctly received position messages is larger than a number of the incorrectly received position messages.

Referring to claims 15 and 16, they correspond to claim 9. Claim 15 refers to a node in the system of claim1. It has already been included in claim 9 above. Claim 16 is just a method claim for the system in claim 9.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,390 Art Unit: 4134

 Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zinke et al (US Publication Number 2005/0094674), in view of Taylor (US Patent Number 7035246), and further in view of William et al (US Patent Number 6185247).

Referring to claim 11, Zinke et al and Taylor do not specifically teach that the network system of claim 10, wherein each network node, for examination as to whether its said local communication time schedule coincides with the communication time schedules of at least part of said active network nodes, is provided with a time interval, in which all position messages of said active network nodes can be transmitted at least once.

However, William et al disclose (Column 2 Lines 28-39) such a method of waiting for a time period so that all position messages (reads synchronization messages) would have been received before examining.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to have modified the teachings of Zinke et al and Taylor with the teachings of William et al. The motivation would have been to add a method to reduce the number of unnecessary synchronization rearrangements, thereby making the synchronization more efficient and reducing the network traffic.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DINESH GOEL whose telephone number is (571)270Application/Control Number: 10/510,390

Art Unit: 4134

5201. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM-5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lun Yi Lao can be reached on 571-272-7671. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. G./ Examiner, Art Unit 4134

/LUN-YI LAO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4134